arXiv:1612.03377v1 [math.DS] 11 Dec 2016

A chain transitive accessible partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism

SHAOBO GAN and YI SHI

Abstract

In this paper, we construct a partially hyperbolic skew-product diffeomorphism f on $\mathbb{T}^3$, such that f is accessible and chain transitive, but not transitive.

1 Introduction

Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold, and $f : M \to M$ be a diffeomorphism. We say f is transitive, if for any two open sets $U, V \subset M$, there exists $n > 0$, such that $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Transitivity is a notion to describe the mixing property of the dynamics generated by f. The transitivity of f is equivalent to there exists a point x whose positive orbit $\{f^n(x) : n > 0\}$ is dense in M.

We call a point $x \in M$ is a non-wandering point of f, if for any neighborhood U_x of x, there exists $n > 0$, such that $f^n(U_x) \cap U_x \neq \emptyset$. The non-wandering set $\Omega(f)$ is the set of all non-wandering points of f. It is clear that a point is a non-wandering point, then its orbit has somekind recurrent property.

For two points $x, y \in M$, we say y is chain attainable from x, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ with $x_0 = x$ and $x_n = y$, such that $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \epsilon$ for any $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. A point $x \in M$ is called a chain recurrent point, if it is chain attainable from itself. The set of chain recurrent points is called chain recurrent set of f, denoted by $\mathrm{CR}(f)$. If every point is chain recurrent, we say f is chain transitive.

It is clear that if a point is non-wandering, then it must be chain recurrent. Similarly, if f is transitive, then it must be chain transitive. However, from the powerful chain connecting lemma [3], there exists a residual set $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathrm{Diff}^1(M)$, such that for any $f \in \mathcal{R}$, we have $\Omega(f) = \mathrm{CR}(f)$. Moreover, for the classical Anosov diffeomorphisms, we must have their non-wandering sets are equal to chain recurrent sets.

A diffeomorphism $f : M \to M$ is partially hyperbolic, if the tangent bundle TM splits into three nontrivial Df-invariant bundles $TM = E^{ss} \oplus E^c \oplus E^{uu}$, such that $Df|_{E^{ss}}$ is uniformly contracting, $Df|_{E^{uu}}$ is uniformly expanding, and $Df|_{E^c}$ lies between them:

$$\| Df|_{E^{ss}(x)} \| < \| Df^{-1}|_{E^c(f(x))} \|^{-1}, \quad \| Df|_{E^c(x)} \| < \| Df^{-1}|_{E^{uu}(f(x))} \|^{-1}, \quad \text{for all } x \in M.$$

It is known that there are unique f-invariant foliations $\mathcal{W}^{ss}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{uu}$ tangent to E^s and E^u respectively.

An important geometric property of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms is accessibility. A partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism f is accessible, if for any two pints $x, y \in M$, they can be joined by an arc consisting of finitely many segments contained in the leaves of foliations $\mathcal{W}^{ss}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{uu}$. Accessibility plays a key role for proving the ergodicity of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, see [7, 11]. Moreover, it has been observed that most of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms are accessible [6, 8, 11].

M. Brin [5] has proved that for a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism $f : M \to M$, if f is accessible and $\Omega(f) = M$, then f is transitive. See also [1]. So it is natural to ask the following question: *if a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism f is accessible and $\mathrm{CR}(f) = M$, is f transitive?* In this paper, we construct an example which gives a negative answer to this question. This implies Brin's result could not be generalized for the case where $\mathrm{CR}(f) = M$.

Let $A : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ be a hyperbolic automorphism over $\mathbb{T}^2$. We say $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ is a partially hyperbolic skew-product over A, if for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, we have

$$f(x, t) = (Ax, \varphi_x(t)), \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|A^{-1}\|^{-1} < \|\varphi'_x(t)\| < \|A\|.$$

We will consider $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and usually use the coordinate $\mathbb{S}^1 = [-1, 1]/\{-1, 1\}$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There exists a partially hyperbolic skew-product C^∞-diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$, such that f is accessible and chain transitive, but not transitive.*

Remark 1.1. We want to point out that for C^1-generic diffeomorphisms, chain transitivity implies transitivity. Our construction need the help of nonhyperbolic periodic points. So we don't know for C^r-generic or C^r-open dense accessible partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, whether chain transitivity implies transitivity.

2 Construction of diffeomorphism

The idea of our example is first we construct a chain transitive partially hyperbolic skew-product diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{T}^3$, such that its non-wandering set is not the whole $\mathbb{T}^3$ and not transitive. Then we make a small perturbation to achieve the accessibility, and still preserving the dynamical properties.

First we need a diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{S}^1$ that is chain transitive but the non-wandering set is not the whole circle.

Let $\theta : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be defined as

$$\theta(t) = -\cos(2\pi t) + 1, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

It is a C^∞-smooth function on $\mathbb{S}^1$. We can see that $\theta \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{S}^1$, and has two zero points 0 and $-1 = 1$. The vector field $\{\theta(t) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\}$ is a smooth vector field on $\mathbb{S}^1$, and its time-r map for $0 < r \ll 1$ is the diffeomorphism we need on the circle. See Figure 1. That is the time-r map of $\theta(t) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is chain transitive, and the non-wandering set consists of only two fixed points 0 and $-1 = 1$. Using the product structure, we can define it on $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Lemma 2.1. *The vector field X defined by*

$$X(x, t) = \theta(t) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1,$$

is a smooth vector field on $\mathbb{T}^3$. Moveover, for every $r > 0$, the time-r map X_r of the flow generated by X satisfies the following properties:

- *$X_r(x, t) = (x, \varphi_x(t))$ for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3$.*
- *For $i = 0, 1$, $X_r(x, i) = (x, i)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$.*

- *Fix $0 < \delta \ll 1$, then for every $(x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times ([-\delta, 0) \cup [1-\delta, 1))$, we have $X_r(x,t) = (x, \varphi_x(t))$ satisfies $\varphi_x(t) > t$. In particularly, if we choose r small enough, there exists $0 < \tau = \tau(r,\delta) < \delta/2$, such that*

$$\varphi_x(t) > t + \tau, \qquad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \{-\delta, 1-\delta\}.$$



Figure 1: Chain transitive systems with nonempty wandering sets.

For $r > 0$ is small enough, we define the diffeomorphism $f_r = X_r \circ (A \times \mathrm{id}) : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$. Then with the same constants $\delta = \delta(r)$ and $\tau = \tau(r)$ in the last lemma, f_r satisfies the following properties(Figuer 1):

- f_r is a partially hyperbolic skew-product diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{T}^3$, $f_r(x,t) = (Ax, \varphi_{Ax}(t))$, where $\varphi_x(t)$ is exactly the same as X_r. Let the partially hyperbolic splitting be:

$$T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{ss} \oplus E^c \oplus E^{uu},$$

  and denote by $W^{ss/uu}$ the stable/unstable manifolds generated by $E^{ss/uu}$.

- In the fixed center fiber S_p, $f_r|_{S_p}$ is chain transitive and has two fix points $P_i = (p,i) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ for $i = 0, 1$.

- For $i = 0, 1$, f_r preserves $\mathbb{T}_i = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \{i\}$ invariant, and $f_r|_{\mathbb{T}_i} = A|_{\mathbb{T}_i}$. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{T}_i = \overline{W^{ss}(P_i, f_r)} = \overline{W^{uu}(P_i, f_r)}.$$

- For every $(x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \{-\delta, 1-\delta\}$, we have $\varphi_{Ax}(t) > t + \tau$.

Remark 2.2. We want to point out that X_r and $A \times \mathrm{id}$ are commutable, thus $f_r = X_r \circ (A \times \mathrm{id}) = (A \times \mathrm{id}) \circ X_r$.

Now f_r is a chain transitive but nontransitive partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{T}^3$. However, f_r is not accessible, since the union of stable and unstable bundles of f_r is integrable. We will make some more perturbations to achieve the accessibility, and preserving other dynamical properties.

Let $p \in \mathbb{T}^2$ be the fixed point of the linear Anosov automorphism A. Take a small local chart $(U(p); (x_s, x_u))$ centered at p in $\mathbb{T}^2$, such that

$$A(x_s, x_u) = (\lambda \cdot x_s, \lambda^{-1} \cdot x_u),$$

for every $(x_s, x_u) \in [-10, 10]_s \times [-10, 10]_u \subset U(p)$. Here λ is the eigenvalue of A with $0 < |\lambda| < 1$, and we assume $1 < \lambda^{-1} < 10$ for the simplicity of symbols. In the rest of this paper, the local coordinate of $(U(p); (x_s, x_u))$ is the only coordinate we used in $\mathbb{T}^2$, and we use it in $\mathbb{T}^2$ without ambiguity.

Remark 2.3. We want to point out that here we require the neighborhood $U(p)$ to be chosen very small, such that for any point $(0, x_u)$ with $x_u \neq 0$, there exists some $n > 0$, such that $A^n(0, x_u) \notin U(p)$. The same holds for $(x_s, 0)$ with $x_s \neq 0$, and its negative iterations of A.

Now we define a C^∞-smooth function $\alpha : \mathbb{T}^2 \to [0, 1]$, such that

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in [-1, 1]_s \times [-1, 1]_u \subset U(p), \\ 1, & x \in \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus [-3, 3]_s \times [-3, 3]_u, \\ \in (0, 1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The function α will help us to prescribe the perturbation region. And the next function γ is used to show the way of perturbations.

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 = [-1, 1]/\{-1 = 1\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞-smooth function, such that

$$\gamma(t) : \begin{cases} > 0, & t \in [-1, -1 + \tau) \cup (-\tau, \tau) \cup (1 - \tau, 1], \\ = 0, & t \in [-1 + \tau, -\tau] \cup [\tau, 1 - \tau]. \end{cases}$$

We define a smooth vector field Y on $\mathbb{T}^3$ by

$$Y(x, t) = -\alpha(x)\gamma(t) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1.$$

Recall that $\tau < \delta/2$, and so for $\rho > 0$ small enough, the time-ρ map Y_ρ satisfies the following properties(see Figure 2):

- $Y_\rho(x, t) = (x, \psi_x(t))$, and $Y_\rho(x, t) = (x, t)$ for every $(x, t) \in [-1, 1]_s \times [-1, 1]_u \times \mathbb{S}^1$.
- For $i = 0, 1$, $\psi_x(i) \leq i$ for every $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$. More precisely, for $i = 0, 1$,
  - $\psi_x(i) = i$, for every $x \in [-1, 1]_s \times [-1, 1]_u$;
  - $\psi_x(i) < i$, for every $x \in \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus [-1, 1]_s \times [-1, 1]_u$.
- For every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times ([-1 + \tau, -\tau] \cup [\tau, 1 - \tau])$, we have $Y_\rho(x, t) = (x, t)$. In particularly, for every $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $t \in \{-\delta, 1 - \delta\}$, $\psi_x(t) = t$.

Now we can considering the perturbation of f_r made by Y_ρ, and it is the diffeomorphism we promised in our main theorem.

Proposition 2.4. *The diffeomorphism $f = Y_\rho \circ f_r : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ satisfies the following properties:*

1. *f is a partially hyperbolic skew-product diffeomorphism:*

$$f(x, t) = (Ax, \psi_{Ax} \circ \varphi_{Ax}(t)), \qquad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3.$$

2. *When restricted in the fixed fiber S_p, $f|_{S_p}$ has two fixed points P_0, P_1, and is chain transitive.*
3. *For $i = 0, 1$, $\psi_{Ax} \circ \varphi_{Ax}(i) \leq i$ for every $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$. More precisely, for $i = 0, 1$,*
   - *$\psi_{Ax} \circ \varphi_{Ax}(i) = i$, for every $x \in [-\lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}]_s \times [-\lambda, \lambda]_u$.*



Figure 2: The perturbation made by Y_ρ.

- $\psi_{Ax}\circ\varphi_{Ax}(i) < i$, *for every* $x \in \mathbb{T}^2 \setminus [-\lambda^{-1},\lambda^{-1}]_s \times [-\lambda,\lambda]_u$.

4. *For* $t \in \{-\delta, 1-\delta\}$, $\psi_{Ax}\circ\varphi_{Ax}(t) > t+\tau$ *for every* $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$.

Proof. the first item comes from the skew-product structure of Y_ρ and f_r. The second item from the vector field Y vanishes in a neighborhood of S_p. The third item comes from the fact that f_r preserves two tori $\mathbb{T}_0$ and $\mathbb{T}_1$ invariant, and the second property of Y_ρ. The last item holds because $\psi_{Ax}(t) = t$ for every $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $t \in \{-\delta, 1-\delta\}$. □

3 Dynamical and geometrical properties of f

Now we can proof the main theorem from the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *The diffeomorphism* $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ *is chain transitive.*

Proof. From the first and second properties of f in Proposition 2.4, we know that f is a partially hyperbolic skew-product diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{T}^3$, thus the stable and unstable manifolds of the fixed fiber S_p are dense on $\mathbb{T}^3$. Since $f|_{S_p}$ is chain transitive, this implies f is chain transitive on $\mathbb{T}^3$. □

Lemma 3.2. *The diffeomorphism* $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ *is accessible.*

Proof. Since f is a partially hyperbolic skew-product diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{T}^3$, if f is not accessible, then from theorem 1.6 of [9], f has a compact *us*-leaf. Here *us*-leaf is a compact complete 2-dimensional submanifold which is tangent to $E^{ss} \oplus E^{uu}$ of f. It is a torus transverse to the

$\mathbb{S}^1$-fiber of $\mathbb{T}^3$. Since the compact us-leaf is saturated by $\mathcal{W}^{ss}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{uu}$, it intersects every $\mathbb{S}^1$-fiber of $\mathbb{T}^3$. Moreover, this us-leaf must intersect every $\mathbb{S}^1$-fiber with only finitely many points, which comes from it is a compact and complete submanifold.

If this compact us-leaf is not periodic by f, then theorem 1.9 of [9] shows that f is semi-conjugated to A times an irrational rotation on $\mathbb{S}^1$, this implies f has no periodic points. This contradicts to P_0 and P_1 are two fixed points of f, thus f must have a periodic compact us-leaf $\mathbb{T}_{us}$.

From the periodicity of $\mathbb{T}_{us}$, we know that $\mathbb{T}_{us} \cap S_p$ only contains P_0 or P_1, and $f(\mathbb{T}_{us}) = \mathbb{T}_{us}$. Assuming $P_0 \in \mathbb{T}_{us}$, then from Theorem 1.7 of [9], we have

$$\mathbb{T}_{us} = \overline{W^{ss}(P_0, f)} = \overline{W^{uu}(P_0, f)}.$$

In particularly, $W^{ss}(P_0, f)$ and $W^{uu}(P_0, f)$ has strong homoclinic intersections.

Recall that from the construction of f,

$$W^{uu}(P_0, f) \cap \{0_s\} \times [-1, 1]_u \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \{0_s\} \times [-1, 1]_u \times \{0\}.$$

Since $W^{uu}(P_0, f) = \cup_{n>0} f^n(W^{uu}_{loc}(P_0, f))$ and $U(p)$ is very small(remark 2.3), property 3 of Proposition 2.4 implies for every $(x, t) \in W^{uu}(P_0, f) \setminus \{0_s\} \times [-1, 1]_u \times \mathbb{S}^1$, we have $t < 0$. On the other hand, from property 4 of Proposition 2.4, for every $(x, t) \in W^{uu}(P_0, f)$, we know that $t > -\delta + \tau$ and hence $-\delta + \tau < t \leq 0$.

However, we know that

$$W^{ss}(P_0, f) \cap [-1, 1]_s \times \{0_u\} \times \mathbb{S}^1 = [-1, 1]_s \times \{0_u\} \times \{0\},$$

and $W^{ss}(P_0, f) = \cup_{n>0} f^{-n}(W^{ss}_{loc}(P_0, f))$. From the construction of f, for every $(x, t) \in W^{ss}(P_0, f)$, we have $0 \leq t < 1 - \delta$. This implies

$$W^{ss}(P_0, f) \cap W^{uu}(P_0, f) = \{P_0\},$$

which is a contradiction. The same argument works for $P_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{us}$, thus f must be accessible. □

Lemma 3.3. *The diffeomorphism $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ is not transitive.*

Proof. From the proof of last lemma, we know that $W^{uu}(P_0, f) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times [-\delta, 0]$ and $W^{uu}(P_1, f) \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times [1 - \delta, 1]$. So we can define two disjoint compact f-invariant u-saturated sets

$$\Lambda_i = \overline{W^{uu}(P_i, f)} \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times [i - \delta, i], \qquad i = 0, 1.$$

Notice that Λ_i intersects every center leaf.

Now we choose two open sets $U \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times (-1, -\delta)$ and $V \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, 1 - \delta)$. Then we must have $f^n(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ for every $n > 0$. Otherwise, there exists $Q = (q, t) \in U$ and $f^k(Q) = (A^k q, t') \in V$ for some $k > 0$. Moreover, there exists some point $R = (A^k q, t' + s_1) \in \Lambda_1$, such that $0 < s_1 < 1 - \delta$, and the center interval $[f^k(Q), R)$ does not intersect Λ_0.

However, there exists some $0 < s_0 < 1 - t$, such that the point $Q' = (q, t + s_0) \in \Lambda_0$, and $\{q\} \times [t, s_0) \cap \Lambda_1 = \emptyset$. From the invariance of Λ_0 and Λ_1, and f preserves the orientation of $\mathbb{S}^1$-fiber, for every $n > 0$, the center curve started from $f^n(Q)$ will meet $f^n(Q') \in \Lambda_0$, and the center interval $[f^n(Q), f^n(Q'))$ does not intersect Λ_1. This is a contradiction for $n = k$. This proves f is not transitive. □

Remark 3.4. Actually, the same idea we can prove the following generalized statement. Let $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ be a partially hyperbolic skew-product diffeomorphism. If f preserves the orientation of center foliation, and has two disjoint invariant compact u-saturated sets, then f is not transitive. In particularly, if f is transitive, then it has only one minimal u-saturated. In a similar spirit, [10] shows that every partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism on the nonabelian 3-nilmanifolds has only one minimal u-saturated set.

References

[1] F. Abdenur, C. Bonatti, L.J. Díaz, Non-wandering sets with non-empty interiors, *Nonlinearity*, 17, no. 1, 175–191, 2004

[2] F. Abdenur, S. Crovisier, Transitivity and topological mixing for C^1 diffeomorphisms. *Essays in mathematics and its applications*, 1–16, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

[3] C. Bonatti and S. Crovisier, Récurrence et généricité, *Invent. Math.*, 158, 33-104, 2004.

[4] C. Bonatti, L.J. Díaz and M. Viana, Dynamics beyond uniform hyperbolicity, *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, vol. 102, Springer–Verlag, 2005.

[5] M. Brin, Topological transitivity of a certain class of dynamical systems, and flows of frames on manifolds of negative curvature, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 9, 9–19, 1975.

[6] K. Burns, F. Rodriguez Hertz, J. Rodriguez Hertz, A. Talitskaya, R. Ures, Density of accessibility for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with one-dimensional center, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 22 no. 1-2, 75–88, 2008.

[7] K. Burns, A. Wilkinson, On the ergodicity of partially hyperbolic systems, *Ann. of Math.*, (2) 171, no. 1, 451–489, 2010.

[8] D. Dolgopyat, A. Wilkinson, Stable accessibility is C^1 dense, *Geometric methods in dynamics. II. Astérisque*, No. 287, xvii, 33–60, 2003.

[9] A. Hammerlindl, Ergodic components of partially hyperbolic systems, *arXiv:1409.8002*.

[10] A. Hammerlindl, R. Potrie, Pointwise partial hyperbolicity in three-dimensional nilmanifolds, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, (2) 89, no. 3, 853–875, 2014.

[11] F. Rodriguez Hertz, J. Rodriguez Hertz, R. Ures, Accessibility and stable ergodicity for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with 1D-center bundle, *Invent. Math.*, 172, no. 2, 353–381, 2008.

[12] M. Hirsch, C. Pugh and M. Shub, *Invariant manifolds*, Springer–Verlag, Lecture Notes in Mathmatics, Vol. 583, 1977.

[13] R. Potrie, Partial hyperbolicity and foliations in $\mathbb{T}^3$, *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 9, 81–121, 2015.

[14] R. Ures and C.H. Vasquez, On the robustness of intermingled basins, arXiv:1503.07155v2.

Shaobo Gan, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
E-mail address: gansb@pku.edu.cn

Yi Shi, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
E-mail address: shiyi@math.pku.edu.cn